

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/601,845	06/24/2003	Carmelo Lo Duca	239411US6	8074
22850 7	10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			ELKINS, GARY E	
1940 DUKE S'			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		•	3727	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commission	10/601,845	LO DUCA, CARMELO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary E. Elkins	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	•					
3) Since this application is, in condition for allowan	Since this application is, in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20031211.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,845

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the legal phraseology "said" was used in the last line. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can only refer back to the preceding claims in the alternative only. The phrase "the features resulting from one or *more* of the preceding claims" does not refer to the previous claims only in the alternative, i.e. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 3 has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2, "or the like" is unclear in scope.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,845

Art Unit: 3727

The following each lack antecedent basis in the claims, i.e. each is only inferentially set forth: claim 1, lines 9 and 10, "the two ends" and "the ends" and claim 2, "the overlying adjacent main panel of the box".

The terms "it" and "them" within claim 1 are each indefinite in that the terms are unclear with respect to what is being referred to.

In claim 1, lines 14 and 15, "a folding line" appears to be a double inclusion of an element.

In claim 1, line 23, "panelon" is misspelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1 and 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. It is noted with respect to claim 3 that "the features resulting from..." is unclear with respect to what "the features" are and whether "the features" includes all the limitations of the previous claim(s).

Conclusion

The cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent that certain documents are missing, e.g.

copies of references cited, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be

directed to Errica Bembry at (703)306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist at (703)308-1078.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (703)308-1034. The Examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Lee Young can be reached at (703)308-2572.

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

gee

30 September 2004